| | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON |
| 2 | PUBLIC HEARING |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | IN RE: RESOLUTION NO. 120, 2021 - |
| 6 | ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL |
| 7 | ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND DENYING |
| 8 | THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR L. R. |
| 9 | COSTANZO CO., INC., 123 NORTH MAIN |
| 10 | AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18504 FOR THE |
| 11 | FOLLOWING UPGRADES TO SITE LIGHTING THROUGHOUT |
| 12 | THE PROPERTY AT PNC BANK, 201 PENN AVENUE, |
| 13 | SCRANTON, PA 18503. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | DATE: March 16th, 2021 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | TIME: 5:45 p.m. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | LOCATION: Zoom |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Maria McCool, RPR |
| 25 | Official Court Reporter |

| _ | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| | | 2 |
| 1 | COUNCIL MEMBERS: | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | WILLIAM GAUGHAN, PRESIDENT | |
| 4 | KYLE DONAHUE, VICE PRESIDENT | |
| 5 | MARK MCANDREW | |
| 6 | JESSICA ROTHCHILD | |
| 7 | THOMAS SCHUSTER | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | LORI REED, CITY CLERK | |
| 11 | KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK | |
| 12 | KEVIN HAYES, COUNCIL SOLICITOR | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | 1 | |

| | 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to call this |
| 2 | public hearing to order. Roll call, please. |
| 3 | ATTY. HAYES: Kathy, you're on mute. |
| 4 | Bill, do you want me to do it? |
| 5 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, you could do it. |
| 6 | That's fine. |
| 7 | ATTY. HAYES: Mr. Schuster. |
| 8 | MR. SCHUSTER: Present. |
| 9 | ATTY. HAYES: Mr. McAndrew. |
| 10 | MR. MCANDREW: Present. |
| 11 | ATTY. HAYES: Dr. Rothchild. |
| 12 | DR. ROTHCHILD: I'm here. |
| 13 | ATTY. HAYES: Mr. Donahue. |
| 14 | MR. DONAHUE: Here. |
| 15 | ATTY. HAYES: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 16 | MR. GAUGHAN: Here. Thank you. |
| 17 | MS. CARRERA: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. |
| 18 | MR. GAUGHAN: That's okay. |
| 19 | ATTY. HAYES: No worries. |
| 20 | MS. REED: The purpose of said |
| 21 | public hearing is to hear testimony and discuss |
| 22 | the following: RESOLUTION NO. 120, 2021 - |
| 23 | ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL |
| 24 | ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND DENYING |
| 25 | THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR L. R. |

| | · |
|----|--|
| 1 | COSTANZO CO., INC., 123 NORTH MAIN |
| 2 | AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18504 FOR THE |
| 3 | FOLLOWING UPGRADES TO SITE LIGHTING THROUGHOUT |
| 4 | THE PROPERTY AT PNC BANK, 201 PENN AVENUE, |
| 5 | SCRANTON, PA 18503. |
| 6 | MR. GAUGHAN: At this time would |
| 7 | someone please make a motion to accept public |
| 8 | comment? |
| 9 | MR. DONAHUE: So moved. |
| 10 | DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. |
| 11 | MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion |
| 12 | and a second to accept public comment for |
| 13 | Resolution No. 120, 2020. Mrs. Reed, please |
| 14 | read any comments received into the record. |
| 15 | MS. REED: Thank you. There have |
| 16 | been no comments submitted and/or received for |
| 17 | this hearing. |
| 18 | MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. On the |
| 19 | question? All those in favor signify by saying |
| 20 | aye. |
| 21 | MR. SCHUSTER: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. MCANDREW: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. DONAHUE: Aye. |
| 24 | DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. |
| 25 | MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The |

ayes have it and so moved.

Joining the public hearing this evening to offer testimony regarding the lighting project is Kyle Newberry with L. R. Costanzo, Kim Carr with PNC Bank and Avery Getton and Bill Byron with the Palumbo Group.

So I would ask now if Maria McCool could please swear in everyone who is a non lawyer who will be offering testimony. Please raise your right hand and be sworn in by the stenographer.

BILL BYRON, AVERY GETTON, KYLE
NEWBERRY AND KIM CARR, having been called
as a witness and being duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Okay. We are here tonight to conduct this public hearing regarding the application for appropriateness which was submitted to the City of Scranton's Historical Architecture Review Board by L. R. Costanzo Company, Incorporated, specifically the application for appropriateness requested authorization to install certain upgrades to

lighting of a property owned by PNC Bank and located -- which is located at 201 Penn Avenue in downtown Scranton.

By way of procedural history,

Costanzo presented the application to the

Historical Architecture Review Board on January

14th, 2021 and was denied that same day.

Mayor Cognetti's administration has prepared a resolution which accepts the recommendation of the Historical Architecture Review Board with regard to the application denial.

Pursuant to the City Code, City

Council has notified Costanzo that HARB's

denial of the application will be reviewed at

tonight's public hearing. Costanzo has been

directed to be prepared to offer evidence and

testimony in support of the application.

And you are now authorized to proceed and offer testimony. So I'll turn the floor over to you.

MR. BYRON: This is Bill Byron.
Shall I speak to this, Kyle?

MR. NEWBERRY: By all means, Bill.

MR. BYRON: Okay. So Bill Byron

from the Palumbo Group. And thanks for having us tonight, putting this on your agenda.

We're here to respectfully request your approval to proceed with our lighting replacement project as it is currently designed and submitted for the construction permit because our reasoning is, according to the HARB letter to the City Council dated January 21st, the type of fixtures were not an issue.

The type of fixtures proposed were found to be appropriate to the site of the building. But they expressed preference to have all the fixtures match with hatted tops. Our predicament is that the fixtures were prepurchased by PNC with monies budgeted for the 2020 fiscal year and the contract was to install fixtures provided by owner.

Our intent is -- or was -- the design intent was to suggest a differentiation between the public street Penn Avenue and the fixtures in front of the bank and the plaza that's privately owned by the bank and used for tenants, you know, private use for tenants.

But while using the same family of light fixtures they are very similar looking.

Also in support of our request and reviewing the project evaluation criteria from the HARB ordinance, it states that recommendations for certificate of appropriateness should consider the affect of the proposed changes on a list of six criteria.

And those six items are -- this is you know, Section 18-42 the powers and functions of the committee. But those six criteria are how it affects the historical values representing culture, political, economical or social history of Scranton.

That's number one. Two, is the relationship of the structure to historical figure or event; and three is, is it a specimen of a certain era, style or method of construction.

We don't believe that these criteria are applicable. And then items four, five and six are concerned with the architectural and historic nature of other buildings in the immediate proximity of the project.

Number five -- criteria five deals with the appropriateness of exterior fixtures -- or features which HARB finds them

to be appropriate. It's just the relationship of the design features to similar features on adjacent buildings.

> And the wording is buildings in the immediate vicinity. So the immediate context of adjacent buildings is the PNC Bank Building, the Forum and the Penn Professional Building across the street.

> None of which has distinguishing features or contribute to the historic fabric of the City. The only building of historic significance is the Times Building which is not visible from the plaza. It is separated by the PNC Bank Building.

> And that plaza is flanked by two more modern structures, right, the PNC Bank and the Forum and the Penn Professional Building across the street on Penn Avenue. But these are the reasons we believe the improvements we wish to make are appropriate and we respectfully request your approval.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else on the meeting tonight who would like to offer testimony that was sworn in? Okay. I just have a few questions for you,

1

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1 Mr. Byron. MR. BYRON: 2 Sure. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: First, how many years 4 has your architectural firm operated in the 5 City? MR. BYRON: Since 1980. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: 1980. And you're 8 familiar with the historical architecture of 9 the downtown district, correct? 10 MR. BYRON: Yes. I actually live in 11 a historic district up in Waverly and served on 12 the Waverly HARB for six years. And now I'm 13 actually a supervisor. So they recommend the 14 board of supervisors up here. 15 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Great. Are you 16 aware of any historical significance that the 17 PNC Bank Administration Building has at this 18 point? 19 MR. BYRON: I am -- no. I don't 20 believe there is any historical significance 21 there. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. As an architect 23 who has rendered professional services in the 24 City of Scranton for many years, is it your

opinion that the proposed lighting described in

1 this application will be consistent with the 2 PNC Bank Building? 3 MR. BYRON: Yes. I think it will be 4 an improvement to what they have now. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: And in your opinion, will the lighting proposed in this application 6 7 be appropriate for this building and the 8 historic district as a whole? 9 MR. BYRON: I believe so, yeah. 10 Yes. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: And, Mr. Byron, in 12 your opinion does the proposed lighting in any way offend the historic qualities of the 13 14 downtown district? 15 MR. BYRON: No, I don't believe it 16 does. 17 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. 18 MR. BYRON: Sure. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Anyone else have any 20 questions? 21 MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, I have a few 22 So when I'm looking at the questions. 23 response from HARB, it says that they don't see 24 any down side to this. Do you see any down 25 side by denying this request --

ı

MR. BYRON: Do I --

MR. SCHUSTER: Denying this change.

MR. BYRON: There is a bit of a down side. The predicament we're in also is that the fixtures were prepurchased with monies in the 2020 fiscal year. And, you know, they were prepurchased for the contractor to install provided by the owner for the contractor to install.

It's going to be difficult to replace them. And we've looked into it and there are not, you know, the hats that can be retrofitted to the other fixtures in the plaza. But if you look at the fixtures, we've provided some graphics. They're very similar.

There's really just the disc, the hat on the fixture that's presented to the public side, you know, the public street, right, Penn Avenue there at the entrance of the bank.

And we really wanted to kind of suggest that differentiation between the public street and the private property which is the plaza, you know, that's supposed to be used for tenants, employees of the bank.

1 That was the intention of kind of switching it up. The fixtures are in the same 2 3 family, very similar looking. The ones in the 4 plaza are just a little bit lower for some 5 pedestrian, you know, flow through there and lighting. I hope I answered your question. 6 7 MR. SCHUSTER: Yes. So how many 8 lights are to be replaced? I see the single 9 lights and then I see the hatted two-prong 10 lights. How much of those are replaced? 11 May I defer to Avery? MR. BYRON: 12 Avery, are you on or Kyle? Can you answer 13 that? 14 ATTY. HAYES: Kyle, you're muted. 15 There you go. 16 MR. NEWBERRY: Yeah, the Penn Avenue 17 side of the bank there are two lights that are 18 being replaced just to the left and right side 19 of the entrance and then the plaza I'll have to 20 look at the drawings. I want to -- 21 stands 21 out to me. 22 MR. BYRON: Between the (inaudible) 23 and the light posts. 24 MR. NEWBERRY: Right.

MR. SCHUSTER:

Yeah, so I'm seeing

three designs. So I'm seeing the hatted two-prong lights. I'm seeing the single lights. And I'm seeing the maybe waist level lights. So does that number -- maybe those single lights and those waist lights.

MR. BYRON: That's right. Those are what's --

MR. SCHUSTER: Okay. And then the ones that you're calling the hatted lights, they're going to go on the front entrance, correct?

MR. NEWBERRY: Yes.

MR. BYRON: Correct.

MR. SCHUSTER: And then it looks like they're replacing like a globe style light; is that correct?

MR. NEWBERRY: That's correct.

MR. BYRON: Yeah, so the hatted -the hatted fixtures, the hatted lamps kind of
harken back to, you know, a more traditional
lamp. But you could see that they're all, you
know, modern fixtures. And the other thing,
you know, the context of where they are, you
know, the -- you're kind of flanked by two more
modern buildings.

And the Times Building is the only building there of historical significance. But that can't be seen and it can't see the plaza. So they're very separated. So there's no -- I don't believe there's a conflict in, you know, the style that we chose for the fixtures.

There's certainly a contrast between the PNC Building and the more traditional, you know, older style of the Times Building.

MR. SCHUSTER: And then all lights on the PNC property will be replaced, correct? There's not going to be --

MR. BYRON: Correct.

MR. SCHUSTER: Okay. Would you say the shorter waist level lights maybe stand out or look different than the rest of those lights when it comes to --

MR. BYRON: They do. But I don't think that -- in that letter I don't think they expressed any opposition to the bollard lights. The only preference that they really expressed was, you know, why not use the hatted fixtures in the plaza as well. But I don't, you know, that's an opinion.

But I don't think that that's based

Are

2 be evaluated, you know? 3 MR. SCHUSTER: Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. BYRON: Sure. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Any other questions? MR. MCANDREW: I have a quick one. 6 7 So I understand, you know, there's going to be 8 contrast and there's different styles and we're 9 trying to stay within -- you know preserve some architectural heritage. 10 11 But are you adding any lights? 12 these lights going to help with safety or 13 energy savings less, you know, carbon 14 footprint. I mean, I would take all things in 15 consideration. Of course we want to keep the 16 historical piece. But are you adding lights in 17 addition to replacing them? 18 MR. BYRON: Yes --19 MR. MCANDREW: More so for aesthetic 20 purposes or for safety purposes or energy 21 savings --22 MR. BYRON: For all three of those. 23 These are going to be LED lights. So they're 24 going to be more energy efficient. I think we 25 slightly reduced the number of lights because

in any of the six criteria that are supposed to

they are more, again, more efficient than those, you know, glass balls that are on posts there now.

I think aesthetically it's an improvement. But there's really no historical relationship to other buildings because, you know, it's surrounded -- literally surrounded by more modern buildings of, you know, 70s and vintage I think.

The Penn Professional Building is a brown brick -- certainly not a traditional building. It's more modern. I wouldn't call it modern architectural. But it's not historically significant by any means. And neither is the PNC or the Forum buildings.

MR. GETTON: Can I add that the LEDs are definitely more energy efficient than what is there.

MR. MCANDREW: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Any other questions?

Okay. Thank you. We're going to take a vote on this later tonight. And I appreciate your testimony. I can tell you just from my own review of the -- HARB's denial and based on your testimony tonight that I'm going to be

voting against the resolution which denies this application.

I don't believe that in my review of the application it violates any of the criteria set forth in the City Code for the historic district. I actually believe that this proposal is going to be an upgrade to the property and an improvement to the property.

So I'm supportive and have been supportive of the HARB's efforts to preserve the historic nature of our downtown. But I don't believe that the City should be asserting their personal preference and must adhere to the actual criteria -- this very specific criteria set forth in the City Code.

So I am supportive of the application and later tonight I will be voting against the resolution and the denial.

MR. BYRON: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Okay.

Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. BYRON: Thank you.

MR. GETTON: Thank you.

MS. CARR: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: If there's no further

business I'll entertain a motion to adjourn the public hearing. Motion to adjourn. MR. DONAHUE: This public hearing is MR. GAUGHAN: adjourned.

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)